

Traditional Authority in Crisis: Succession Disputes and Their Impact On Governance and Social Stability in Nigeria

Adeniyi Adeniran,¹ Bolaji Omitola, PhD.,² & Olagoke Oluwafemi Awotayo, PhD.³

¹*Global Affairs and Sustainable Development Institute,
(GASDI), Osun State University, Osogbo, Nigeria.*

Email: adeniyiadeniran34@gmail.com

²*Department of Political Science, Osun State University, Osogbo, Nigeria.*

Email: bolaji.omitola@uniosun.edu.ng

³*Department of Political Science, University of Ilesa,
Ilesa, Osun State, Nigeria. Corresponding author.*

Email: olagoke_awotayo@unilesa.edu.ng

Abstract:

In most of the African societies, especially in Nigeria, kingship is still a source of traditional governance and the monarchs are considered as the custodians of the culture, peace keepers during times of conflict and as a symbol of community identity. Although it is sacred, the practice of crowning kings is usually full of conflict, wrangles and politics. In this paper, the conflict and appointment in kingship is analyzed on a theoretical basis without pinpointing the case scenarios, and rather the paper uses the well-known frames of political anthropology, structural functionalism, and conflict theory. These views provide a hint on the symbolic, political and social aspects of succession to kingship which is the mode of building and the contest of legitimacy. It examines the role of rituals, lineage and normative expectation in the appointment process and how the failure to live up to this expectation can bring instability. It also takes into account the place of external forces like colonial and contemporary intervention of states in the transformations of traditional structures of authority. Through theory, the paper will give a generalized perception of the dynamics of kingship that can be utilized in different settings. Finally, the paper supports a moderate strategy to the issue of Kingship succession one that acknowledges cultural practices but introduces some measures that will enable transparency, accountability and conflict resolution mechanism. This is needed to maintain the integrity of the traditional institutions and keep them relevant in the modern governance.

Keywords: Traditional Authority, Succession Disputes, Conflict, Resolution, Governance, Nigeria.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18265190>

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution
International License (CC BY 4.0).



1. INTRODUCTION

Kingship as an ancient institution has historically been a source of government, culture and social unity in most African communities and more so Nigeria. The purpose of kingship often referred to as Obas in the Southwest, Emirs in the North, Igwes in the Southeast or any other designation of a particular culture is much more than ceremonial. These are the leaders who can be the key players in conflict resolution, communal leadership and the abstract embodiment of ancestral authority and spiritual continuity (Onuoha & Enyiazu, 2023; Mlambo, 2023). Their sway is so deep-rooted within the socio-political scene within a community, where they become the guardians of the tradition and the interim between the people and the official state apparatus.

Although the idea of kingship will always be relevant, the nature of the appointment and succession procedure of traditional rulers is becoming more and more tense. The solvency conflict has become a common practice in modern Nigeria and has resulted in a series of civil conflicts, prolonged courtroom battles, and undermining of traditional institutions (Omorede & Ighorhiohwunu, 2023). These disputes are normally based on the conflicting claims to legitimacy, ambiguous or disputed orders to succession, and foreign intervention by political actors. In many societies, there are no written succession laws and this creates tensions that can be manipulated and have ambiguity. Appointees to any kingship have become so politicized and this is one of the most pressing issues. Governors of states and political elites usually come in to the process of selection either by supporting the candidates of their choice or denying rewards to those elected by the normal methods. This interference does not just weaken the independence of conventional institutions but it also skews the cultural and spiritual pillars on which the kingship is based (Onipede, 2022). What has been created is a kind of hybrid system in which the traditional authority is more and more subject to political bargaining, instead of community agreement and ritual validation.

Besides, there is no constitutional clarity on the position and status of traditional rulers that further complicates the dynamics of succession. Even though traditional leaders still become crucial players in the governing process and development, their legal position is unclear, which exposes them to political abuse and institutional disregard (Igbanoi, Ejalonibu, and Yerima, 2025). This paper aims to discuss these issues, with reference to theory in terms of how the politics of kingship succession may be explained through the prism of political anthropology, structural functionalism and conflict theory, and whether these concepts can help shed light on the role of politics of kingship succession in the stability and governance in Nigeria.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

Understanding the complexities of kingship succession and the conflicts that often accompany it requires a robust theoretical foundation. This section draws on three major frameworks Political Anthropology, Structural Functionalism, and Conflict Theory to analyze how traditional rulership operates, how legitimacy is constructed, and why disputes emerge during appointment processes.

Political anthropology examines the intersection of culture and power, focusing on how authority is constructed, maintained, and contested within societies. In the context of kingship, this framework is particularly useful for understanding the symbolic and ritualistic dimensions of leadership. Kingship is not merely a political role; it is deeply embedded in cultural narratives, myths, and spiritual beliefs. The ruler is often seen as a divine or semi-divine figure whose authority is sanctioned by ancestral spirits or deities (Bošković & Schlee, 2022). Rituals such as coronation ceremonies, ancestral blessings, and public oaths serve to legitimize the ruler's position and reinforce communal identity (Lemarchand, 2024).

Political anthropologists argue that these symbolic acts are essential for maintaining social cohesion. They provide a shared framework through which communities understand leadership and succession. However, when these rituals are disrupted either by internal disputes or external political interference the legitimacy of the ruler is called into question, leading to conflict (Onuoha & Enyiazu, 2023). Moreover, political anthropology highlights the role of kinship and lineage in kingship succession. In many Nigerian communities, rulership is hereditary, passed down through specific royal families. The criteria for succession such as primogeniture, seniority, or spiritual suitability are often culturally defined and vary across regions. Disputes arise when these criteria are contested or manipulated, especially in the absence of codified rules (Onipede, 2022).

Structural functionalism, rooted in the works of Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Africanist scholars like Meyer Fortes and E.E. Evans-Pritchard, views society as a system of interrelated parts that work together to maintain stability and order. Kingship, in this framework, is seen as a stabilizing institution that reinforces social norms and values. According to Fortes (1959), the role of the king is not only to govern but to embody the moral and spiritual essence of the community. Succession rituals serve a functional purpose they ensure continuity, reaffirm communal bonds, and legitimize authority. The process of selecting a new ruler is thus a critical moment in the life of a society, one that must be carefully managed to avoid disruption.

Structural functionalists emphasize the importance of consensus and tradition in succession. When the appointment process follows established norms, it reinforces the legitimacy of the institution and promotes social harmony. Conversely, when these norms are violated through political interference, favoritism, or disregard for lineage it creates a rupture in the social fabric, leading to conflict and instability (Evans-Pritchard, 1940). This framework also sheds light on the integrative role of traditional rulers in modern governance. Despite the lack of formal constitutional recognition, kings often serve as mediators, advisors, and custodians of customary law. Their authority is derived not from legal statutes but from communal trust and historical continuity (Chidume, 2024). Structural functionalism helps explain why disruptions in succession processes can have far-reaching consequences for community cohesion and governance.

Conflict theory, developed by Karl Marx and expanded by scholars such as Max Weber and Lewis Coser, focuses on the role of power, inequality, and competition in social

relations. In the context of kingship, this theory provides a critical lens for examining how succession disputes arise and why they persist. Kingship succession is often a site of intense competition, especially in societies where rulership confers access to resources, prestige, and political influence. Conflict theorists argue that disputes are not merely about tradition or legitimacy they are about power. Rival factions within royal families may contest succession to gain control over communal assets, influence government decisions, or assert dominance over other groups (Jonathan & Ogedi, 2025).

External actors, such as politicians or state officials, may also intervene in succession processes to advance their interests. By supporting certain candidates or manipulating selection procedures, they can co-opt traditional institutions for political gain. This politicization of kingship undermines its legitimacy and transforms it into a battleground for competing interests (Mukhtar & Jafaru, 2025). Conflict theory also highlights the structural inequalities that shape succession disputes. In some communities, gender, age, or social status may exclude certain individuals from consideration, leading to grievances and resistance. The lack of transparent and inclusive succession mechanisms exacerbates these tensions, making conflict more likely (Omoredede & Ighorhiohwunu, 2023). Furthermore, the theory underscores the need for institutional reform. To reduce conflict, succession processes must be guided by clear, codified rules that are respected by all stakeholders. Mechanisms for dispute resolution such as councils of elders, customary courts, or mediation panels should be strengthened to ensure fairness and accountability.

While each of these frameworks offers distinct insights, they are most powerful when used in combination. Political anthropology helps us understand the cultural and symbolic dimensions of kingship; structural functionalism explains its role in maintaining social order; and conflict theory reveals the power struggles and inequalities that drive disputes. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive lens for analyzing kingship succession and conflict. They highlight the importance of legitimacy, tradition, and power in shaping appointment processes, and they underscore the need for reforms that balance cultural heritage with modern governance principles. In the Nigerian context, where traditional institutions continue to play vital roles despite legal ambiguities, such theoretical understanding is essential. It can inform policy development, guide community engagement, and support efforts to strengthen traditional leadership structures.

3. CONFLICT IN KINGSHIP SUCCESSION

Although the succession of kingship is highly structured in tradition and cultural heritage, it is the genesis of much struggle and friction in most of the Nigerian societies. These clashes are not incidental ones, but they are the results of underlying structural, political and symbolic clashes in society. In this section, the author discusses the dynamics, nature, and patterns of conflict in kingship succession using theoretical background and academic literature. The common occurrence of succession conflicts in the traditional

rulership is whereby one monarch succeeds another. Such conflicts can be developed on the basis of vague rules of succession, conflicting claims in royal families, political interference, or changes in social values.

These conflicts may vary in intensity, with the verbal disputes and legal actions in certain cases being the mildest, and the violent confrontations and the long-term instability of the community being the most severe cases (Omorede, Ighorhiohwunu, 2023). The stakes of succession are already increased by the symbolic value of kingship. The king is not a political leader, calling both the spiritual leader, the keeper of tradition, a carrier of communal identity. In this way, any questioning of the legitimacy of a ruler is understood as the threat of the overall state of the social order (Bošković and Schlee, 2022). This burden complicates succession conflicts especially.

A number of reasons promote the development of the succession conflicts: In most Nigerian societies, the rules of succession are not enacted in the law but are oral. These rules can be different in regions and even in royal families and cause confusion and rivalry. By way of illustration, certain customs follow primogeniture (the eldest son), whilst others put more emphasis on seniority among qualified princes or spiritual appropriateness (Onipede, 2022). There is no standardized procedure and this leaves scope of manipulation and disagreement. Royal families are usually made up of various branches with each branch having its claim to the throne. The succession conflicts are quite common in situations where various groups in the family are claiming their right to authority. Such rivalries can either be driven by historical animosity or individual ambitions or even foreign facilitation by political forces (Jonathan and Ogedi, 2025). In other instances, the succession conflicts have given rise to parallel kingships, which cause even more division within communities.

Governors and other local government officials are often involved in choosing kings. Although their participation is occasionally viewed as an administrative control, the involvement may also be a way of exercising political power. When it comes to political office, politicians can favor some candidates to gain loyalty, compensate their allies, or dominate strategic communities (Onuoha & Enyiazu, 2023). This politicization is a destroyer of traditional autonomy and a source of conflict. Nigerian constitution does not legitimize the institution of traditional rulers and thus appointment and power of traditional rulers is subject to state laws and the customs of the people. This legal uncertainty gives inconsistencies in the succession processes, as well as restricting the ability of the traditional institutions to settle disputes on their own (Igbanoi, Ejalonibu, and Yerima, 2025). Lack of clearly defined legal frameworks would often compel communities to litigate, which may be quite costly and disuniting.

The changing social values as well as modernization and urbanization have transformed the concept of kingship. The youths might find it difficult to support the relevance of traditional rulers whilst the elites might want to re-invent the role of monarchs in governance. Such changes may cause both generational and ideological differences in the succession, particularly when candidates have diverse interpretations of the leadership (Chidume, 2024).

4. PATTERNS AND IMPLICATIONS OF SUCCESSION CONFLICTS IN KINGSHIP

The nature of succession conflicts in the Nigerian kingship institutions tends to have patterns that can be traced, thus depicting the complexities of the traditional governance. Among the most frequent ones is the trend of protracted conflicts, which cause years of litigation, appeals, and protests in the community because of wrongful successors. Such delays do not only help in paralyzing the process of appointing a new ruler, but also introduce leadership gaps that undermine the local system of governance (Omoredede & Ighorhiohwunu, 2023). The other common trend is the formation of parallel kingships, with different groups within royal lineages appointing their own kings, leading to several people bearing the same title. This tendency disintegrates the communal power and has a tendency to cause misunderstanding and instability (Obolo & Igbani, 2024).

In even more drastic situations, the succession issues turn into violent conflicts. Opponents of rival parties can even use physical fights resulting to the destruction of properties, injuries, and even loss of lives. These brutal results highlight how high the stakes of traditional rulership were and the lack of effective methods of conflict resolution (USIP, 2024). An intervention by the state is yet another common reaction to succession disputes. The governments usually intervene to bring sanity or negotiate in conflict situations but in some cases, they are seen as politically inclined or biased. This view will also undermine trust in the traditional and formal system of governance (Igbanoi, Ejalonibu, and Yerima, 2025).

These succession conflicts have far-reaching implications. To start with, they cause a depletion of social confidence in the old institutions. In cases where disagreements are unresolved or solved in a non-assign way or by utilizing politics, societies start to doubt the authority and applicability of their leaders (Offiah & Elegalam, 2025). Succession wars leave governance loopholes. Lack of any identifiable leader frustrates decision making, local administration and halts development programs. The traditional rulers usually act as a bridge between the population and the government; they deliver the services with the lack of them, and the locals become immobilized (Orji, 2013).

Third, the conflicts add to political instability. More generally, politicised or compromised processes relating to succession may be exported to other areas of electoral and policy conflict, particularly in cases where traditional rulers are co-opted by the political process or where their power is demonstrated during election periods (Philip, 2025). Lastly, the culture may disintegrate as a result of succession conflicts. This is because the traditional norms and rituals, which are common with kingship are usually ignored or manipulated during conflicts and this causes a loss of communal identity and heritage. Governance is not the only thing that is lost due to the break in cultural continuity; the social cohesion and historical consciousness of the community are also lost (Taiwo et al., 2023). Overall, the problem of succession conflicts in the kingship institutions in Nigeria is not an isolated situation but a systematic problem that requires

a carefully thought-out reform. The solution to these problems lies in law, cultural sensitivity and inclusion in governance.

5. APPOINTMENT PROCESSES AND LEGITIMACY

The election of a traditional ruler is an undertaking, which is full of rituals, figurative sensibility, and joint agreement. It is not a simple administrative procedure but a culturally important process which establishes identity continuity, and legitimacy. This part will discuss the construction of legitimacy in kingship appointments, the importance of rituals and norms, and the issues of the modern influences and political interference. The traditional rulership legitimacy is the right to rule of a ruler as seen by the collectivity. It is obtained as a result of interplay between some factors such as the lineage, ritual approval, social acceptance, and historic precedence (Bošković et al., 2022). As opposed to the modern-day democratic systems where the legitimacy process is usually founded on an electoral process, the legitimacy principle in kingship is culturally and spiritually founded.

The typology of authority traditional, charismatic and legal-rational by Max Weber are especially applicable in this case. Kingship is a traditional authority in which legitimacy is premise on long-run traditions and hereditary positions (Weber, 1947). The society does not embrace the monarch due to any lawful requirements but rather due to the lineage and observance of the set standards. In most Nigerian societies, legitimacy is also viewed in a spiritual context. The king is regarded as someone who bridges the living and ancestors, and his appointment has to be approved by rituals which attract the divine blessing (Fortes, 1959). In the absence of these rituals, a candidate who has the right lineage can be deemed as illegitimate. Rituals are a major aspect of appointment of kings. They are used to legitimize the power of the ruler, to unite the society, and to enforce the cultural values. Common rituals include:

Coronation ceremonies

These are the official entitlement of the ruler and are frequently characterized by such symbolic actions as wearing of royal regalia, taking oaths and being blessed by spiritual leaders.

Invocation of the ancestors

Ritual experts can undertake the rituals to get the spiritual acceptance of the ancestors and gods, which would make the appointment conform to the spiritual demands.

Public endorsement

The legitimacy of the ruler is publicly validated by the elders of the community, chiefs and powers of the righteous to signify communal agreement. These are not just performative rituals, but they are part and parcel of the appointment process. They make the candidate, who is a member of the royal family, a well-known and legitimate ruler (Lemarchand, 2024). But this role of legitimizing authority has been undermined by the modernization and change of religion and the political encroachment on rituals. In others, rulers are put in power without going through conventional rites, which causes conflicts and disapproval by some parts of society (Onuoha & Enyiazu, 2023). Traditional rulers are appointed under the traditional laws. Such laws are not written but are common knowledge in the society. They indicate the eligibility standard, order of succession as well

as the position of different stakeholders during the appointment. Eligibility may be based on:

- Lineage: Only members of particular royal families are eligible.
- Seniority: In a situation where there are eligible candidates, a senior or individuals with more experience may be preferred.
- Spiritual approval: There are certain traditions where divination or spiritual consultation is needed to decide which candidate was most appropriate.

The process of appointment is usually done by a body of elders or kingmakers who sit and decide on the ruler according to these parameters. Their choice will consist of communal values and stability (Evans-Pritchard, 1940). This is however, where lack of codified laws on succession leaves a window of manipulation. The conflict between groups is common when the traditional norms are perceived in varying ways by opposing groups or when external actors mediate in the decision-making process (Igbanoi, Ejalonibu, and Yerima, 2025). A number of aspects question the validity of the appointments of kings in modern Nigeria: State governments usually intervene in the adoption or identification of traditional rulers. Although this is meant to guarantee order within the administration, it may result in politicization. Such politicians can want to employ individuals capable of supporting their interests, which go against traditional norms and weaken communal will (Jonathan and Ogedi, 2025). At other times governors have denied recognition to rulers chosen by kingmakers and this has resulted in longstanding conflict and rivalry to the throne. Such interference keeps undermining the sovereignty of the traditional institutions, and reduces their credibility in the community (Mukhtar & Jafaru, 2025).

The role or the appointment of traditional rulers is not clearly defined in the Nigerian constitution. This legal gap gives the state governments opportunity to control the kingship using local laws that might be against the customary practices. This has led to a disjointed and uneven structure that makes legitimacy difficult (Chidume, 2024). The advent of Christianity and Islam has transformed the views on conventional practices. There are communities that discard the ancestral rites as they contradict the religious doctrines and creating tensions during the appointment. Unwilling to attend through the traditional practices, candidates can be considered out of legitimacy, others may be rejected because they follow spiritual traditions too much (Onipede, 2022). The young generations, affected by the modern education and world values, might doubt the topicality of traditional rulership. They can insist on increased transparency and accountability and inclusiveness during appointment procedures. This new generation begs the premise of legitimacy and demands changes that provide a balance between the past and the present (Omoredede and Ighorhiowunu, 2023).

6. IMPLICATIONS FOR GOVERNANCE AND STABILITY

The institution of kingship, though rooted in tradition, continues to play a vital role in governance and social stability across Nigeria. Traditional rulers serve as custodians of culture, mediators in local disputes, and intermediaries between the people and formal

government structures. However, the conflicts and ambiguities surrounding kingship succession and appointment processes have significant implications for governance, community cohesion, and national stability. Traditional rulers are often the first point of contact between citizens and authority, especially in rural areas. They oversee customary courts, enforce local norms, and facilitate development initiatives. Their influence is not merely symbolic; it is practical and deeply embedded in the everyday lives of their communities (Chidume, 2024; Mlambo, 2023). In many cases, traditional rulers complement the formal governance system by filling gaps in service delivery, conflict resolution, and community mobilization. Their legitimacy, derived from cultural and spiritual foundations, allows them to command respect and compliance in ways that elected officials may not (Bošković & Schlee, 2022).

However, when succession disputes arise, the authority of traditional rulers is undermined. Communities become divided, trust erodes, and the ruler's ability to govern effectively is compromised. This has ripple effects on local governance, development projects, and peacebuilding efforts. Kingship succession conflicts often polarize communities. Rival factions within royal families, political supporters, and religious groups may align themselves with different candidates, leading to social fragmentation. In extreme cases, these divisions escalate into violence, displacement, and long-term animosity (Jonathan & Ogedi, 2025). The communal nature of kingship means that disputes affect not just the royal family but the entire community. Rituals, festivals, and communal decision-making processes may be disrupted, weakening the social fabric. The absence of a universally accepted ruler creates a leadership vacuum, making it difficult to coordinate development efforts or respond to crises (Onuoha & Enyiazu, 2023).

Moreover, unresolved succession conflicts can lead to the emergence of parallel kingships, where two or more individuals claim the same title. This not only confuses governance structures but also creates competing centers of authority, further destabilizing the community (Mukhtar & Jafaru, 2025). The politicization of kingship appointments has broader implications for Nigeria's political landscape. When politicians interfere in succession processes, they transform traditional institutions into tools of political patronage. This undermines the autonomy of traditional rulers and erodes their legitimacy (Onipede, 2022). Political interference also creates tensions between state and traditional authorities. In some cases, state governments have refused to recognize rulers selected by kingmakers, leading to legal battles and administrative paralysis. These conflicts distract from governance priorities and fuel public disillusionment with both traditional and formal institutions (Igbanoi, Ejalonibu, & Yerima, 2025). Furthermore, succession disputes can influence electoral outcomes. Politicians may exploit community divisions to gain support or suppress opposition. Traditional rulers, caught in the crossfire, may be pressured to endorse candidates or mobilize voters, compromising their neutrality and credibility (Omorede & Ighorhiowunu, 2023).

The lack of constitutional recognition for traditional rulers in Nigeria creates a legal vacuum that complicates succession and governance. While state laws provide some guidelines, they vary widely and often conflict with customary practices. This

inconsistency makes it difficult to resolve disputes and enforce decisions (Chidume, 2024). Efforts to codify succession rules have met resistance, as many communities fear that formalization may erode cultural autonomy. However, without clear legal frameworks, succession processes remain vulnerable to manipulation and conflict. The judiciary, though increasingly involved in kingship disputes, often lacks the cultural competence to adjudicate effectively (Evans-Pritchard, 1940).

Kingship is not only a political institution but also a cultural one. Traditional rulers are custodians of heritage, language, and communal values. Succession conflicts threaten this role by disrupting cultural continuity and diminishing the authority of cultural leaders (Lemarchand, 2024). Festivals, rituals, and communal ceremonies often depend on the presence and leadership of a recognized ruler. When succession disputes delay or delegitimize appointments, these cultural expressions suffer. This affects tourism, cultural education, and intergenerational transmission of values. From a development perspective, traditional rulers are key partners in implementing projects, mobilizing communities, and maintaining peace. Their involvement is crucial in areas such as health campaigns, education initiatives, and infrastructure development. Succession conflicts hinder these efforts by creating uncertainty and resistance (Mlambo, 2023).

7. Conclusion

This paper has examined the conflict and appointment processes in kingship through a theoretical lens, focusing on the Nigerian context. Drawing on political anthropology, structural functionalism, and conflict theory, it has explored how legitimacy is constructed, how succession disputes arise, and how these dynamics impact governance and stability. Kingship remains a vital institution in Nigeria, serving as a bridge between tradition and modernity. Traditional rulers play essential roles in community leadership, cultural preservation, and conflict resolution. However, the appointment of these rulers is often marred by ambiguity, rivalry, and political interference, leading to disputes that undermine legitimacy and disrupt governance.

Political anthropology reveals the symbolic and ritualistic dimensions of kingship, emphasizing the importance of cultural continuity and communal consensus. Structural functionalism highlights the stabilizing role of traditional rulers and the need for orderly succession to maintain social harmony. Conflict theory exposes the power struggles and inequalities that fuel succession disputes, calling attention to the need for institutional reform. The implications of these conflicts are far-reaching. They affect community cohesion, political stability, legal clarity, and cultural integrity. Without transparent and inclusive appointment processes, traditional institutions risk losing their relevance and authority. The erosion of legitimacy not only weakens local governance but also threatens national unity.

7.1 Recommendations

To address these challenges and strengthen the institution of kingship, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Codification of succession rules

Communities should document their customary succession laws to reduce ambiguity and prevent manipulation. Codification does not mean abandoning tradition; rather, it ensures that traditional norms are preserved and consistently applied. Written guidelines can serve as reference points during disputes and help kingmakers make informed decisions. Customary law commissions, supported by cultural experts and legal scholars, can assist in this process. These commissions should work collaboratively with communities to ensure that codified rules reflect local values and practices.

2. Strengthening kingmaker councils

Kingmakers play a crucial role in selecting traditional rulers. Their independence, integrity, and cultural competence are essential for fair and legitimate appointments. Communities should invest in training and empowering kingmaker councils, ensuring that they operate free from political pressure and guided by communal interests. Transparent procedures, clear eligibility criteria, and inclusive deliberations can enhance the credibility of kingmaker decisions. Mechanisms for internal accountability should also be established to prevent corruption and favoritism.

3. Legal recognition and constitutional reform

The Nigerian government should consider constitutional reforms that formally recognize traditional rulers and define their roles within the governance structure. Legal recognition would provide clarity, protect traditional autonomy, and facilitate collaboration between state and traditional authorities. Such reforms should be guided by consultations with traditional leaders, cultural institutions, and civil society organizations. They should aim to balance respect for tradition with the principles of democracy, human rights, and rule of law.

4. Institutionalizing conflict resolution mechanisms

Succession disputes should be resolved through culturally sensitive and impartial mechanisms. Customary courts, mediation panels, and community forums can provide platforms for dialogue and reconciliation. These mechanisms should be institutionalized, adequately resourced, and accessible to all stakeholders. Training in conflict resolution, negotiation, and restorative justice can enhance the effectiveness of these mechanisms. Collaboration with religious leaders, youth groups, and women's organizations can also promote inclusivity and trust.

5. Promoting public awareness and civic education

Public education campaigns can help communities understand the importance of peaceful succession and the dangers of politicization. Civic education should emphasize the value of cultural heritage, the role of traditional rulers, and the need for transparency and accountability. Schools, media outlets, and community organizations can play a role in disseminating information and fostering dialogue. Intergenerational conversations can bridge gaps between elders and youth, promoting mutual respect and shared understanding.

6. Encouraging cultural adaptation and innovation

While preserving tradition is important, communities must also adapt to changing social realities. Appointment processes should accommodate religious diversity, gender inclusion, and generational shifts. Innovation in ritual practices, leadership models, and governance structures can enhance relevance and resilience. Traditional rulers should be encouraged to engage with modern governance tools, including digital communication, participatory decision-making, and community development planning. Such engagement can strengthen their leadership and foster sustainable development.

7. Monitoring and evaluation

Communities and governments should establish systems for monitoring and evaluating kingship appointments and succession processes. These systems can track disputes, assess legitimacy, and identify areas for improvement. Data collection, feedback mechanisms, and periodic reviews can support evidence-based reforms. Academic institutions, research centers, and policy think tanks can contribute by conducting studies, publishing reports, and facilitating stakeholder dialogues. Collaboration between scholars and practitioners can bridge theory and practice, enhancing the effectiveness of interventions.

REFERENCES

- Alabia, A., Bamidele, A. H., & Nurudeen, I. M. (2023). Voters' behaviour and traditional rulership institution in Nigeria's 2023 general elections: A study of Baruten Local Government Area of Kwara State. *Ilorin Journal of Administration and Development*, 7(1), 25–40. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374192034>
- Bošković, A., & Schlee, G. (2022). *African political systems revisited*. Berghahn Books.
- Chidume, C. G. (2024). Governance and the traditional institution: Examining the roles of chiefs in Nigeria. *Journal of Historical Review*, 9, 83–106.
- Evans-Pritchard, E. E. (1940). *African political systems*. Oxford University Press.
- Fortes, M. (1959). *Oedipus and Job in West African religion*. Cambridge University Press.
- Igbanoi, L., Ejalonibu, G., & Yerima, H. (2025). Traditional rulers and the Nigerian governance structure: Advocating for constitutional recognition. *Policy Brief*, National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies (NILDS).
- Jonathan, O. E., & Ogedi, J. (2025). The role of traditional leaders in conflict resolution in Nigeria: Strategies for sustainable peace. *UKR Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(1).
- Kyed, H. M., & Buur, L. (2008). Introduction: Traditional authority and democratization in Africa. In *State recognition and democratization in Sub-Saharan Africa* (pp. 1–28). Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1057/9780230609716_1
- Lemarchand, R. (2024). *African kingships in perspective: Political change and modernization in monarchical settings*. Routledge.
- Makinde, W. A., & Olabode, B. M. (2020). Traditional rulership and community development in Yewa South Local Government, Ogun State, Nigeria. *ResearchGate*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353418169>
- Miles, W. F. S. (1993). Traditional rulers and development administration: Chieftaincy in Niger, Nigeria, and Vanuatu. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 28, 31–50. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02687120>
- Mlambo, M. S. (2023). *Governance and traditional rulership in northern Nigeria* [Repository publication]. University of Pretoria.

- Mukhtar, A., & Jafaru, Y. (2025). Integrating traditional institutions into contemporary conflict resolution and development strategies in Northwest Nigeria. *Global Academic and Scientific Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(9).
- Nolte, I. (2008). Chieftaincy and the state in Abacha's Nigeria: Kingship, political rivalry and competing histories in Abeokuta during the 1990s. *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute*, 78(3), 371–394. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556724>
- Obolo, A. J., & Igbani, R. O. (2024). Evolution, development and conflict dynamics in Otakeme kingship institution in Ogbia Kingdom of Bayelsa State, 1900–2020. *Federal University Otuoke Journal of Social and Economic Development*. <https://pg.fuotuo.ke.edu.ng/index.php/ejosed/article/download/34/34/69>
- Offiah, C., & Elegalam, C. (2025). Traditional authority and legitimacy in Nigeria: A sociopolitical analysis of succession disputes. *African Journal of Governance and Development*, 12(1), 45–62.
- Omang, T. O., & Etila, S. M. (2025). Navigating modernity and traditional conflict resolution in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities. *Reality of Politics*, 2024(3), 306–320.
- Omoredede, C. K., & Ighorhihgwunu, A. V. (2023). A theoretical assessment of succession policy and governance in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law*, 27, 1–13. https://www.jopafl.com/uploads/issue27/A_THEORETICAL_ASSESSMENT_OF_SUCCESION_POLICY_AND_GOVERNANCE_IN_NIGERIA.pdf
- Onipede, K. J. (2022). Emergent issues in customs and traditions of Yoruba kingship and chieftaincy. *Asian and African Studies*, 31(2), 106–120.
- Onuoha, F. C., & Enyiazu, C. (2023). Politicisation of the appointment of traditional rulers and the challenges of conflict and security management. In *Traditional authority and security in contemporary Nigeria* (pp. 234–250). Routledge.
- Ophori, O. O., & Okpevra, U. B. (2024). The trajectory of kingship institution in Olomu of the Western Delta of Nigeria up to 1995. *International Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 5(1), 257–267. <https://www.syncsci.com/journal/IJAH/article/download/IJAH.2024.01.007/962/>

Taiwo, A. A., Imosemi, A., Apampa, S., & Emmanuel, C. (2023). Customary law of succession in Nigeria: Rethinking the legal status of women. In *Nigerian women in cultural, political and public spaces* (pp. 227–241). Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-40582-2_13